Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)		
MOLI)	ION	02 002070
MCI, Inc.)	IC Nos.	03-S82868
)		03-S83772
Complaints Regarding)		03-S84946
Unauthorized Change of)		03-S85124
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)		03-S85125
			03-S85311
			03-S85367
			03-S85554
			03-S85569
			03-I0075190S
			03-I0078604S

ORDER

Adopted: August 24, 2004 Released: August 26, 2004

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider the complaint¹ alleging that MCI, Inc. (MCI) changed Complainants' telecommunications service provider without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that MCI has responded fully to the Complainants' complaints and has taken action to resolve the complaints.
- 2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of

_

See Appendix A.

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

⁴⁷ U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (Section 258 Order), stayed in part, MCI v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); stay lifted, MCI v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); Third Order on Reconsideration and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 18 FCC Rcd 5099 (2003); Order, FCC (continued....)

"slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service. In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur. Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures. Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act. 10

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

- 4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' telecommunications service providers were changed to MCI without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to Section 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, 11 we notified MCI of the complaint and MCI responded. 12 MCI has fully absolved Complainants of all charges assessed by Company in a manner consistent with the Commission's liability rules. 13 Based on the information before us, we therefore find that the complaints referenced herein have been resolved. 14
- 5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints against MCI ARE RESOLVED.
 - 6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Deputy Chief Consumer Policy Division Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

See Appendix A.

¹³ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160.

If any Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, such Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to such Complainant. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

APPENDIX A

INFORMAL COMPLAINT NUMBER	DATE OF COMPLAINT	DATE OF CARRIER RESPONSE
03-S82868	February 24, 2003	June 6, 2003
03-S83772	May 5, 2003	July 1, 2003
03-S84946	August 11, 2003	October 28, 2003
03-S85124	September 27, 2003	December 2, 2003
03-S85125	September 27, 2003	December 2, 2003
03-S85311	August 5, 2003	December 8, 2003
03-S85367	October 10, 2003	December 8, 2003
03-S85554	November 6, 2003	January 13, 2004
03-S85569	November 4, 2003	January 13, 2004
03-I0075190S	November 3, 2003	March 3, 2004
03-I0078604S	December 10, 2003	March 10, 2004